CHARLESTON, S.C.





Fig 6.1.2–4 CEUS SSC report

CHARLESTON RECENT PUBLICATIONS

- Marple, R.T., 2011, Comment on the companion articles "Finding faults in the Charleston area, South Carolina: 1. Seismological data" by I. Dura-Gomez, I., and P. Talwani and "Finding faults in the Charleston area, South Carolina: 2. Complementary data" by P. Talwani, and I. Dura-Gomez: Seismological Research Letters, v. 82, p. 599-605.
- Talwani, P., 2011, Response to "Comment on the Companion Articles 'Finding Faults in the Charleston Area, South Carolina: 1. Seismological Data' by I. Durá-Gómez and P. Talwani and 'Finding Faults in the Charleston Area, South Carolina: 2. Complementary Data' by P. Talwani and I. Durá-Gómez" by R. Marple: Seismological Research Letters, v. 82, p. 606-608.
- Talwani, P., Hasek, M., Gassman, S., Doar, W. R., III, and Chapman, A., 2011, Discovery of a Sand Blow and Associated Fault in the Epicentral Area of the 1886 Charleston Earthquake: Seismological Research Letters, v. 82, no. 4, p. 589–598.
- Chapman, M.C., and Beale, J.N., 2010, On the geologic structure at the epicenter of the 1886 Charleston, South Carolina, earthquake: *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, v. 100, no. 3, pp. 1010–1030.
- Dura-Gomez, I., and Talwani, P., 2009, Finding faults in the Charleston area, South Carolina: 1. Seismological data: *Seismological Research Letters*, v. 80, no. 5, pp. 883–900.
- Talwani, P., and Dura-Gomez, I., 2009, Finding faults in the Charleston Area, South Carolina: 2. Complementary data, *Seismological Research Letters*, v. 80, no. 5, pp. 901–919.
- Chapman, M.C., and Beale, J.N., 2008, Mesozoic and Cenozoic faulting imaged at the epicenter of the 1886 Charleston, South Carolina earthquake: *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, v. 98, pp. 2533–2542.
- Abstracts
- Gassman, S., Talwani, P., and Hasek, M., 2009, Maximum Magnitudes of Charleston, South Carolina Earthquakes from In-Situ Geotechnical Data: Abstracts Volume from Meeting of Central and Eastern U.S. Earthquake Hazards Program, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, October 28-29, p. 19.
- Talwani, P., Dura-Gomez, I., Gassman, S., Hasek, M., and Chapman, A., 2008, Studies related to the discovery of a prehistoric sandblow in the epicentral area of the 1886 Charleston SC earthquake: Trenching and geotechnical investigations: *Program and Abstracts, Eastern Section of the Seismological Society of America*, p. 50.



CHARLESTON SOURCE, S.C. USGS AND CEUS SSC COMPARISON

| | 2008 USGS | CEUS SSC |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Source | Narrow 1,400 km ² (0.5) Broad 22,000 km ² (0.5) | Narrow 1,900 km ² (0.3) Local 5,000 km ² (0.5) Regional 39,000 km ² (0.2) |
| Characteristic M | M6.8 (0.2) M7.1 (0.2) M7.3 (0.45) M7.5 (0.15) | M6.7 (0.1) M6.9 (0.25) M7.1 (0.3) M7.3 (0.25) M7.5 (0.1) |
| Recurrence | 550 yr | 480 yr (0.8) 480 yr (0.04) 770 (0.06) 910 yr (0.06) 1100 yr (0.04) |
| Earthquake occurrence model | Poisson | Poisson (0.9) Brownian Passage Time (0.1) |



CEUS SSC VS. USGS CHARLESTON SOURCE, S.C.





1-HZ SPECTRAL ACCELERATION 2% PE IN 50 YR

CEUS SSC zones

USGS zones





Vs30 760 m/s



RATIO MAP CEUS SSC/USGS





CHARLESTON PALEOLIQUEFACTION



CEUS SSC report

Science for a changing world

CEUS CHARLESTON SPACE-TIME DIAGRAM

Contemporary ages only

All ages







Fig 6.1.2-7

Fig 6.1.2–8 CEUS SSC report

AGE UNCERTAINTY FOR CHARLESTON PALEOLIQUEFACTION



ANNUAL FREQUENCY OF MAXIMUM EARTHQUAKE, CHARLESTON SOURCES







- Should the USGS modify their Broad and Narrow zones that were used in prior maps?
- Is the modeled 550 yr return time appropriate to use in the update?



WABASH VALLEY FAULT SYSTEM



SSC Model: Mmax Zones Branch



Fig 6.1.9.2 CEUS SSC report

RECENT WABASH REFERENCES

- Obermeier, S. F., 2009, Using liquefaction-induced and other soft-sediment features for paleoseismic analysis: *International Geophysics*, v. 95, pp. 499-566.
- Van Arsdale, R., Counts, R., and Woolery, E., 2009, Quaternary Displacement Along the Hovey Lake Fault of Southern Indiana and Western Kentucky: NEHRP Final report submitted to the U.S. Geological Survey, External Grant Number 07HQGR0052, 11 pp.
- Counts, R.C., Durbin, J.M., and Obermeier, S.F., 2008, Seismic ground-failure features in the vicinity of the Lower Wabash and Ohio River valleys: in Counts, M.H., and Counts, R.C. (editors), From the Cincinnati Arch to the Illinois Basin: Geological Field Excursions Along the Ohio River Valley: Geological Society of America Field Guide 12, pp. 57-79.
- McBride, J.H., Leetaru, H.E., Bauer, R.A., Tingey, B.E., and Schmidt, S.E.A., 2007, Deep faulting and structural reactivation beneath the southern Illinois basin: *Precambrian Research*, v. 157, pp. 289-313, doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2007.02.020.
- Abstracts
- Counts, R., Van Arsdale, R., Tuttle, M., Mahan, S. Obermeier, S., and Woolery, E., 2011 Paleoseismology in the New Madrid and Wabash Valley Seismic Zones, central United States [abs.]: XVIII INQUA Bern 2011, link
- Counts, R., Van Arsdale, R., and Woolery, E., 2009b, Paleoseismic Features Within the Wabash Valley Seismic Zone in Western Kentucky: presentation given at meeting of CEUS Earthquake Hazards Program, U.S. Geological Survey, October 28–29, Memphis, Tenn.
- Counts, R.C., Van Arsdale, R.B., and Woolery, E.W., 2009a, Investigation of Quaternary displacement on the Uniontown fault, western Kentucky [abstract]: *Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Programs*, v. 41, no. 1, p. 20.
- Counts, R.C., Woolery, E., and Van Arsdale, R.B., 2008, Quaternary faulting in Union County, Kentucky—Preliminary results: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 40, no. 5, p. 80.
- Counts, R.C., Waninger, S., and Obermeier, S.F., 2007, Liquefaction evidence for a strong earthquake in the lower Ohio River valley during the mid to late Holocene: Geological Society of <u>America</u> Abstracts with Programs, v. 39, no. 3, p. 4.



WABASH PALEOEARTHQUAKES



CEUS SSC report



CEUS-SSC WABASH SOURCE





DEAGGREGATION--VINCENNES



2012 Jan 27 17:36:55 Site Coords:-87.5240 38.6796 (yellow disk) Vs30= 760.0. Max annual ExcdRate .6110E-04 (column height prop. to ExRate). Diamonds: historical earthquakes. Red M>6, WUS. Orange M>5, CEUS



MARIANNA ZONE, ARK.

- geologic record of earthquake induced liquefaction older than NMSZ features
- northwest-trending lineament defined by (1-4-m-wide) sand blows near Daytona Beach
 possibly fault controlled 17 km (M6.5)
- 3 or 4 Holocene earthquakes between 5 and 9.6-10.2 ka
- some sand blows are comparable to NMSZ
- M6.7-7.7
- Default to background 0.5



Fig 6.1.2b

CEUS SSC report



RECENT PUBLICATIONS

Recent abstracts

• 2010s

Al-Qadhi, O., 2010, Geophysical investigation of paleoseismological features in eastern Arkansas, USA: Ph.D. dissertation, University of Arkansas, Little Rock, 277 p.

• 2000s

Al-Shukri, H., Mahdi, H., Al Kadi, O., and Tuttle, M., 2009, Spatial and temporal characteristic of paleoseismic features in the southern terminus of the New Madrid seismic zone in eastern Arkansas: Final Technical Report Submitted to the U.S. Geological Survey under USGS External Grant Number 07HQGR0069, 24 p.

- Csontos, R., Van Arsdale, R., Cox, R., and Waldron, B., 2008, Reelfoot rift and its impact on Quaternary deformation in the central Mississippi River valley: *Geosphere*, v. 4, no. 1, pp. 145-158.
- Tuttle, M.P., 2008, Paleoseismological investigations at the East Site, The Gilmore/Tyronza Mitigation Project: in *Data Recovery at the Tyronza Sites, Poinsett County, Arkansas, The East Site (3P0610)*, technical report to Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department, v. 4, pp. 259–277.
- Al-Shukri, H., Mahdi, H., and Tuttle, M., 2006, Three-dimensional imaging of earthquakeinduced liquefaction features with ground penetrating radar near Marianna, Arkansas: *Seismological Research Letters*, v. 77, pp. 505-513.
- Tuttle, M.P., Al-Shukri, H., and Mahdi, H., 2006, Very large earthquakes centered southwest of the New Madrid seismic zone 5,000-7,000 years ago: *Seismological Research Letters*, v. 77, pp. 755-770.
- Al-Shukri, H., Lemmer, R.E., Mahdi, H., and Connelly, J.B., 2005, Spatial and temporal characteristics of paleoseismic features in the southern terminus of the New Madrid seismic zone in eastern Arkansas: *Seismological Research Letters*, v. 76, pp. 502-511.



PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS

- Five generations of sand blows and related feeder dikes in Marianna area
- Weathering characteristics, stratigraphic and structural relations of features, and dating of buried soils suggest that liquefaction features formed during paleoearthquakes ~ 4.8, 5.5, 6.8, 9.9, and 9.9–38 ka
- Marianna sand blows are likely due to local, not New Madrid, earthquakes:
 - Very large size of liquefaction features
 - Lack of similarly large features that formed in AD 1811-1812, 1450, and 900



DAYTONA BEACH LINEAMENT



Explanation

Liquefaction features (1)
Faults (2)
Lineament (3, 4)

Sources: 1. CEUS SSC Project; 2. Schumm and Spitz (1996); 3. Tuttle et al. (2006); 4. Al-Qadhi (2010)

Basemap: NAIP Aerial Imagery (2006)

Fig 6.1.7–3 CEUS SSC report



 many large sand blows

severe ground failure
 may be surface

 expression of fault at
 depth; perhaps
 western member of
 White River FZ

PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS

- Marianna sand blows are likely due to local, not New Madrid, earthquakes:
 - Very large size of liquefaction features
 - Lack of similarly large features that formed in AD 1811-1812, 1450, and 900
- Some liquefaction evidence of complex faulting perhaps involving White River FZ and Eastern Margin Reelfoot Rift FZ
- Marianna paleoearthquakes were probably very large (M \geq 7); but warrants further study
- Findings suggest max average recurrence time of ~1.7 k.y. and clustered behavior with minimum active period of ~5 k.y.
- Implication currently "quiet" members of Reelfoot Rift fault system may produce very large earthquakes in future



MARIANNA PALEOLIQUEFACTION



Fig E-15 CEUS SSC report • Five generations of sand blows and related feeder dikes in Marianna area

 Field identification degree of weathering stratigraphic & structural relations dating of buried soils

Paleoliquefaction formed about 4.8, 5.5, 6.8, 9.9, and 9.9-38 ka



ESTIMATED TIME OF PALEOLIQUEFACTION FORMATION



Science for a changing world

Fig E-17 CEUS SSC report



