

Paleoseismology Studies in New England

- 1979 Thompson: Passamoquaddy Bay
 Norumbega post glacial offsets
 1986 Thorson, Clayton & Seeber: Hain
 - 1986 Thorson, Clayton & Seeber: Hain Quarry deformation features
- 1990 Tuttle et al: 1989 Saguenay and earlier earthquake liquefaction features
- 1991 Tuttle & Seeber: Newburyport area recon and trenching
- 1994 Gelinas et al: Newburyport and Moodus reconnaissance & Lake Ossipee coring
- 1996 Roy: Dover-Foxcroft recon for earthquake-related deformation
- 1999 Thompson & Varekamp: Eastern border fault of Hartford Basin
- 2000 Tuttle, Sims & Roy: Scituate 1755 liquefaction site & river recon
- 2003-2005 Tuttle, Ebel, Witkowski, Myskowski, & Efros: Revisit Newburyport; reconnaissance in Hampton & other coastal marshes

Paleoseismology Ain't Easy in New England



- Glaciated terrane scrapped clean and buried by glacial, glacio-fluvial, -lacustrine, and -marine deposits
- Soft-sediment deformation structures common in these deposits
- Great vertical and lateral variability in surficial deposits (not MRV)
- Region is heavily forested and water table high resulting in poor exposure
- In many areas, geologic record is disturbed or destroyed by human activity
- Liquefaction features and fault offsets appear to be small

Newburyport Paleoseismology Studies

 \triangleright



From Ebel, 2000; faults from Zen et al., 1983

- Historical research (wills, deeds, maps) identified likely locations of liquefaction-related ground failures
- GPR surveys, augering, trenching, and radiocarbon dating led to discovery of two generations of liquefaction features (historic and prehistoric) in past 4,000 years
 - Geophysical surveys and trenching of another site and reconnaissance of Little and Parker Rivers yielded no additional liquefaction features
 - Examination of previously mapped faults found small offsets (few cm) of glaciated bedrock surface across northwest oriented fractures

Hampton Paleoseismology Studies



Accounts of liquefaction in the Hampton area during 1727 event
 Reconnaissance of several rivers in Hampton estuary

Hampton Paleoseismology Studies



- Found one small sand dike whose termination was washed out. Dating of adjacent peat indicates that it formed since 2750 B.P.
- Also found a distinctive sand layer in association with killed trees reminiscent of tsunami deposits in the PNW
- Dating of possible tsunami deposit indicates it formed
 ~2 ka; sand dike could have formed during same event
- Also conducted reconnaissance in other coastal marshes in ME and MA and found distinctive sandy layers of similar age

Hampton Paleoseismology Studies





- Conducted diatom analysis of sand layer and of vertical sections
- In layer, found many species of diatoms, with broken valves, from various environments consistent with tsunami origin
- Diatom assemblages suggest abrupt change in environment possibly related to subsidence.
- Questions remain whether it's a tsunami deposit or something else; alternative hypothesis fringing beach deposit

Tsunami Deposits as Paleoearthquake Indicators

Taylor's Bay, Newfoundland



Essex Marsh, MA



- Tsunami deposits are playing a major role in identifying paleoearthquakes in the PNW and elsewhere (Atwater and Hemphill-Haley, 1997; Kelsey et al., 2005)
- In the western Atlantic, 1929 Grand Banks earthquake and submarine slides produced a tsunami that came onshore in southern Newfoundland, where it destroyed villages and deposited a layer of sand
 - In New England and along the Atlantic Seaboard where conditions are less than ideal for finding liquefaction features and active faults, tsunami deposits could be a very useful



What Have We Learned in New England?

- Newburyport: Two earthquakes in past 4,000 yr large enough to induce liquefaction; active and persistent source in this area capable of at least M~5.5 every 2,000 yr
- Branford: Repeated 20-30 cm offsets in past 1,200 yr across eastern border of Hartford Basin; M 4-5 every 200-300 yr

 Coastal marshes north of Boston:
 Possible 2ka tsunami deposit that may correlate with liquefaction features in Hampton and Newburyport; large event located offshore or capable of triggering submarine slide



USGS Coastal and Marine Geology Program

What Are the Outstanding Questions?

- 1. Is there a source offshore MA-NH-ME coast capable of earthquakes larger than 1755 Cape Ann event?
- Compare possible tsunami deposit with known tsunami and fringing beach deposits
- Look for tsunami deposits in different environments of deposition such as freshwater ponds
- Evaluate new offshore bathymetry and multi-beam data for evidence of late Holocene faults and submarine slides



What Are the Outstanding Questions?

- 2. Is there a source in southern NH responsible for 1638 and other M~7 earthquakes?
- Look for earthquake-induced liquefaction features from 1638 and prehistoric events along additional stretches of Pemigewasset, Merrimack, and other rivers

 Look for anomalous silt layers in cores of lake sediments (a la Quebec) and synchronous land slides over large area



What Are the Outstanding Questions?

- 3. Are there other mapped faults (e.g., eastern border fault of Hartford Basin) that exhibit Holocene displacements and are those faults seismogenic?
- Look for independent evidence of strong ground shaking along faults
- Compare small displacement faults in NE with other intraplate events involving surface rupture (e.g., 1968 Meckering, AU & 1989 Ungava, CA)



