## **Quaternary Fault and Fold Database of the United States**

As of January 12, 2017, the USGS maintains a limited number of metadata fields that characterize the Quaternary faults and folds of the United States. For the most up-to-date information, please refer to the <u>interactive fault map</u>.

## Belted Range fault (Class A) No. 1084

Last Review Date: 1998-12-08

*citation for this record:* Anderson, R.E., compiler, 1998, Fault number 1084, Belted Range fault, in Quaternary fault and fold database of the United States: U.S. Geological Survey website, https://earthquakes.usgs.gov/hazards/qfaults, accessed 12/14/2020 02:19 PM.

The Belted Range fault lies along the west flank of the Belted **Synopsis** Range on the east side of Kawich Valley. Scarps and lineaments on Quaternary deposits and faults that displace bedrock of the range against Quaternary piedmont deposits are shown on photogeologic maps. More recent field studies have identified discontinuous scarps on surficial materials, which form a northeast-striking zone about 21 km long that can be divided into three parts of contrasting strike separated by short scarp-free sections. The sense of slip is normal and down to the west. The most recent movement is probably early Holocene to latest Pleistocene and produced a maximum surface offset of about 1 m. Total surface offset in surficial materials ranges from 0.6 m on the youngest faulted alluvium to 11.3 m on older alluvium. Quantitative estimates of recurrence are not possible, but slip rate estimates have been reported that range from 0.01 to 0.09 mm/yr. In general, scarps are best developed along the part of the range

|                              | that shows the greatest topographic and structural relief,<br>suggesting that the Quaternary deformation reflects, in a general   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | way, the long-term pattern of deformation of the range.   |
| Name<br>comments             | Name taken from Piety (1995 #915). dePolo (1998 #2845)<br>referred to the fault as the Western Belted Range fault. Refers to a<br>northeast-striking zone of faults and scarps along the west side of<br>the Belted Range that has been mapped by Dohrenwend and<br>others (1992 #289), Reheis (1992 #1604), and Anderson and<br>others (1995 #897). Piety (1995 #915) shows the Belted Range<br>fault on her compilation of Quaternary faults. The Belted Range<br>fault extends discontinuously from Kawich Canyon northeastward<br>to the north end of the Belted Range. |
|                              | <b>Fault ID:</b> Shown as BLR by Piety (1995 #915) and portrayed as G16 by dePolo (1998 #2845).   |
| County(s) and<br>State(s)    | NYE COUNTY, NEVADA  |
| Physiographic<br>province(s) | BASIN AND RANGE   |
| Reliability of<br>location   | Good<br>Compiled at 1:100,000 scale.  |
|                              | <i>Comments:</i> Location is from field reconnaissance and mapping on 1:30,000 scale aerial photos compiled on 1:24,000 scale topographic maps (Anderson and others, 1995 #897).  |
| Geologic setting             | The Belted Range fault is a range-front structure separating the<br>basin beneath Kawich Valley on the west from the uplifted Belted<br>Range on the east, forming a typical northerly trending basin and<br>range structural pair. These features, together with the Kawich<br>Range and Gold Flat basin to the west, form a typical Basin and<br>Range structural and geomorphic pattern (Cornwall, 1972 #1482)<br>that contrasts with the broad highlands to the south and southwest<br>that express the southern Nevada volcanic field.                                 |
| Length (km)                  | 25 km.  |
| Average strike               | N14°E   |
| Sense of<br>movement         | Normal<br>Comments: Anderson and others (1995 #897) found no evidence   |

|   | for displacement other than dip slip.   |
|---|---|
| Dip Direction                           | W   |
|   | <i>Comments:</i> A conspicuous gravity gradient, especially along the southern part of the Belted Range fault (Ekren and others, 1971 #1505) suggests that the fault is a range-front fault that has a moderate to steep west dip.  |
| Paleoseismology<br>studies              |   |
| Geomorphic<br>expression                | The range front along the west flank of the Belted Range varies<br>along strike from areas where the range front shows abrupt<br>piedmont-hillslope transitions to areas where the range front is<br>strongly modified and embayed by erosion and has retreated. The<br>trace of the Belted Range fault generally lies a few hundred<br>meters valleyward of the bedrock-alluvium contact and is marked<br>by three diversely oriented scarps separated by short scarp-free<br>gaps (Anderson and others, 1995 #897): a 10-km-long northern<br>part strikes N3?W, a 7-km-long central part strikes N28?E, and a<br>1-km-long southern part strikes N6?E (Anderson and others, 1995<br>#897). The range of surface offset based on 21 scarp profiles is<br>0.6-11.3 m, although some of the larger offsets may be<br>exaggerated by graben formation in the hanging wall of the fault<br>(Anderson and others, 1995 #897). Field studies by Anderson and<br>others (1995 #897) also indicate that single-event and multiple-<br>event scarps are present, and indicate that the average surface<br>offset for the youngest event is about 1 m. In general, they found<br>that scarps are best developed along areas of the Belted Range<br>that show the greatest topographic and structural relief; structural<br>relief is based on gravity contours (Ekren and others, 1971<br>#1505). This apparent correlation of topographic and structural<br>relief with prominent scarp expression, suggests that the<br>Quaternary deformation reflects, in a general way, the long-term<br>pattern of deformation of the range (Anderson and others, 1995<br>#897). |
| Age of faulted<br>surficial<br>deposits | The age of the youngest surficial deposits cut by the Belted Range<br>fault is poorly constrained. Dohrenwend and others (1992 #289)<br>show part of the trace on depositional or erosional surfaces<br>estimated, on the basis of a general geomorphic classification, to<br>be of late Pleistocene age (10-130 ka). Anderson and others (1995<br>#897) reported that scarps are developed on surfaces that  |

|   | probably are as young as latest Pleistocene to early Holocene in age (<30 ka).  |
|---|---|
| Historic<br>earthquake                    |   |
| Most recent<br>prehistoric<br>deformation | latest Quaternary (<15 ka)<br><i>Comments:</i> On the basis of limited scarp-profile data and<br>comparisons to the geomorphic characteristics of reference<br>scarps, Anderson and others (1995 #897) estimated that the most<br>recent event is latest Pleistocene or early Holocene in age.  |
| Recurrence<br>interval                    | <i>Comments:</i> Multiple-event scarps are common along the Belted<br>Range fault (Anderson and others, 1995 #897), but lacking better<br>age constraints on offset deposits and surfaces, no reliable<br>estimates of recurrence can be made.  |
| Slip-rate<br>category                     | Less than 0.2 mm/yr<br><i>Comments:</i> On the basis of scarp morphology and poorly<br>constrained age estimates of faulted surfaces, Anderson and<br>others (1995 #897) calculated slip rates that range from 0.09 to<br>0.1 mm/yr. The maximum slip rate of 0.1 mm/yr, is based on the<br>assumption that the highest scarp is no older than 100 ka. They<br>also reported a longer term, maximum slip rate of 0.05 mm/yr.,<br>based on offset of late Tertiary volcanic strata. dePolo (1998<br>#2845) reported similar rates; he estimated a preferred<br>reconnaissance vertical slip rate of 0.01 mm/yr for the fault based<br>on the presence of scarps on alluvium and the absence of basal<br>facets. No other slip rate estimates are known to have been<br>reported for the Belted Range fault, and based on the rates<br>reported the lowest slip-rate category (<0.2 mm/yr) was assigned<br>to this fault. |
| Date and<br>Compiler(s)                   | 1998<br>R. Ernest Anderson, U.S. Geological Survey, Emeritus  |
| References                                | <ul> <li>#897 Anderson, R.E., Bucknam, R.C., Crone, A.J., Haller, K.M.,<br/>Machette, M.N., Personius, S.F., Barnhard, T.P., Cecil, M.J., and<br/>Dart, R.L., 1995, Characterization of Quaternary and suspected<br/>Quaternary faults, regional studies, Nevada and California: U.S.<br/>Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-599, 70 p., 2 sheets.</li> <li>#1482 Cornwall, H.R., 1972, Geology and mineral deposits of</li> </ul>   |

| southern Nye County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 77, 49 p., 1 pl., scale 1:250,000.  |
|--|
| #2845 dePolo, C.M., 1998, A reconnaissance technique for<br>estimating the slip rate of normal-slip faults in the Great Basin,<br>and application to faults in Nevada, U.S.A.: Reno, University of<br>Nevada, unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, 199 p.   |
| #289 Dohrenwend, J.C., Schell, B.A., McKittrick, M.A., and<br>Moring, B.C., 1992, Reconnaissance photogeologic map of young<br>faults in the Goldfield 1° by 2° quadrangle, Nevada and<br>California: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies<br>Map MF-2183, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.   |
| <ul> <li>#1505 Ekren, E.B., Anderson, R.E., Rogers, C.L., and Noble,</li> <li>D.C., 1971, Geology of the northern Nellis Air Force Base</li> <li>Bombing and Gunnery Range, Nye County, Nevada: U.S.</li> <li>Geological Survey Professional Paper 651, 91 p., 1 pl., scale</li> <li>1:125,000.</li> </ul>   |
| #915 Piety, L.A., 1995, Compilation of known and suspected<br>Quaternary faults within 100 km of Yucca Mountain, Nevada and<br>California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 94-112, 404<br>p., 2 pls., scale 1:250,000.   |
| #1604 Reheis, M.C., 1992, Aerial photographic interpretation of<br>lineaments and faults in late Cenozoic deposits in the Cactus Flat<br>and Pahute Mesa 1:100,000 quadrangles and the western parts of<br>the Timpahute Range, Pahranagat Range, Indian Springs, and Las<br>Vegas 1:100,000 quadrangles, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey<br>Open-File Report 92-193, 14 p., 3 pls., scale 1:100,000. |

## Questions or comments?

Facebook Twitter Google Email

Hazards

Design Ground MotionsSeismic Hazard Maps & Site-Specific DataFaultsScenarios EarthquakesHazardsDataEducationMonitoringResearch

Search...

Search

HomeAbout UsContactsLegal